

Appendix A Designer's Risk Assessment

JACC	DBS [°]					DESIGN	N HAZARD E	LIMINATIO	ON AND RISK REDUC	TION REGISTER (ROI)												
Latest Review Date]	Proba	bility					Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of	of Impact					i	Risk Rating					
Phase C Construction M Maintain / Clean U Use as Workplace D Demolish Project Name: Upgrade Programme – Package B Project Number: 32110901 Design Package: Client: National Transport Authority			-	1: Highly Unlikely 2: Unlikely 3: Possible 4: Likely 5: Highly Likely			 Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue. 10. Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event 								NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination				ID ID 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	20 25 16 20 12 15 0 10 4 5		
	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Risk Formal Review ID. Description	Particular or Non- Phase Particular Risk (if applicable)	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	Discipline	Design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	Design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role) Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	n Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
U1 5: Design Stage Review	C 1. Falling from height	Excavation of trenches pits, chambers and manholes for utility installations.	, Potential to fall from ground level into open excavation.	Construction	3	4	12	Civil / Structural	completely eliminate the identifie	Depths of the excavations will be limited to d a max of 1.5m deep where possible. This therefore simplifies the construction and reduces severity of the fall.	2	3	6	Falling from height - All utility provider & survey information will be supplied to the contractor	Trench excavations deeper than 1.2m will be highlighted on the relevant utility drawings.		Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U2 5: Design Stage Review	C 2. Burial under earthfalls	Excavation of trenches pits, chambers and manholes for utility installations.	Excavation, installation and backfilling of deep pipes. Even shallow excavations can result in trench collapse so it is important to never be complacent. Installation / Maintenance of pipes and manholes in the areas of high water table	r	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	hazard relocation of services are going to be required due to the	Existing utilities will be retained in situ and d protection details will be installed where this is technically acceptable by the service provider. This therefore reduces the quantity of work of this nature.	3	10	30	Burial under earth fall. Engulfment due to trench or slope collapse - All utility provider & survey information will be supplied to the contractor	Trench excavations deeper that 1.2m will be highlighted on the relevant utility drawings.	n e Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	TBC		Typical risk on construction site is forseeable by the contractor.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U3 5: Design Stage Review	C 4. Chemical or biological substances	Working to complete the cut-in and connections to the existing sewer main. Working on existing sewer manhole lids and chambers.	The biological hazard associated with working on sewer infrastructure incl. the toxic gases that can be found in sewers.	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	completely eliminate the identifie hazard. Diversion of and work with the existing sewerage	Existing sewers will be retained in situ and d protection details will be installed where this is technically acceptable by the service provider. This therefore reduces r the quantity of work of this nature.	3	10	30	Chemical or biological substances	N/A	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	TBC		Typical risk on construction site that needs to be mitigated and managed by the contractor.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U4 5: Design Stage Review	C 6. Work near high-voltage power lines	Excavation in proximity to High voltage underground lines. Working under existing overhead high voltage lines.	Electrocution by coming in contact with high voltage conductors by service strike or contact with overhead lines.	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	completely eliminate the identifie hazard. Diversion of existing		3	10	30	Electrocution by coming in contact with high voltage conductors by service strike or contact with overhead lines.	High voltage cables will by highlighted on the relevant utility drawings.	e y Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	твс		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U5 5: Design Stage Review	C 12. Assembly or dismantling of heavy prefabricated components	Working adjacent to existing structures, including retaining structures. Possible use of precast chambers if proposed by the contractor. Heavy watermain pipe e.g. 450mm DI. Precast protection Slabs may be used by contractor and require craneage.	Being crushed or entrapped by heavy object. Manual handling injury.	Staff	4	5	20	Civil / Structural	completely eliminate the identifie hazard. Diversion of existing	Existing utilities will be retained in situ and d protection details will be installed where this is technically acceptable by the 3 service provider. This therefore reduces the quantity of work of this nature.	3	5	15	Being crushed or entrapped by heavy object. Manual handling injury.	NA	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	TBC		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U6 5. Design Stage Review	C 13. Interaction with traffic	Working in the vicinity of live traffic at all interfaces of the works There is also the interaction with construction traffic throughout the site.	Operative being struck by vehicle. Pedestrian being struck by plant or , vehicle.	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	hazard. Diversion of existing		3	10	30	Operative being struck by vehicle. Pedestrian being struck by plant of vehicle.		Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	TBC		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U7 5: Design Stage Review	C 15. Vicinity of gas mains or installations	Excavation of trenches pits, chambers and manholes for utility installations.	, Service strike on live gas main	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	hazard. Diversion of existing		3	10	30	Service strike on live gas main	N/A	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	твс		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U8 5: Design Stage Review	C 16. On or adjacent to pressure mains	Excavation in the vicinity of public utilities watermains, gas main sewer rising main.	Service strike on live gas main, water , main, rising sewer main.	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	hazard. Diversion of existing		3	10	30	Service strike on live gas main, water main, rising sewer main.	NA	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	, NA	TBC		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U9 5: Design Stage Review	C 17. Confined spaces	Manhole and chamber entry as required. Deep Trench excavation.		Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	completely eliminate the identifie hazard. Diversion of existing utilities and work with the existing severage network has been avoided where possible. All utility provider & survey information wi be supplied to the contractor.	a	3	10	30	Engulfment by hazardous gases.	N/A	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
U10 5: Design Stage Review	C 20. Interaction with the public	All Service Installations along live areas and a interface points will involve exposure of the public to work areas and vehicles.	 Member of the public coming in contact with a work vehicle or entering the worksite. 	Staff	4	10	40	Civil / Structural	hazard. Diversion of existing		3	10	30	Member of the public coming in contact with a work vehicle or entering the worksite.	N/A	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		The contractor needs to consider and mitigate against this risk by the development and implementation of a RAMS.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)

		Particular or Non-					Initial						Residual		Included on Drawing							Deimann
Risk ID.	Formal Review Description	Phase Particular Of Non- Phase Particular Risk (if applicable)	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob WF	PS Risk Rating	Discipline	Design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	Design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
H1 5	Design Stage Review	C 7. Exposure to drowning	Construction of Frank Flood Bridge	Operative failing into the Tolka River during construction of the Frank Flood Bridge	Construction	2 4	8	Civil / Structural		d	2	3	6	Exposure to drowning	Presence of watercourse will be highlighed on the relevant drawings.	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H2 5	Design Stage Review	C 1. Failing from height	Installation of new traffic signal equipment, including gantry signals	Potential fall from height during installation process	Construction	3 4	12	Transport/Traffic	materious ses are required as part of the proposed structure Limit overhead gantries only to locations where lower signal equipment cannot be accommodated. There are some locations where overhead gantries cannot be avoided therefore hazard has not been completely eliminated.	Provide NAL sockets or similar to support easier installation. Where feasibile sufficient space is available for a MEWP for installation/maintenance.	2	3	6	Falling from height	Locations where access may prove difficult for installation or maintenance will be highlighted on the relevant drawings.	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
НЗ 5	Design Stage Review	M 13. Interaction with traffic	Maintaining signal equipment close to live traffic	Operative struck by live traffic	Construction	3 4	12	Transport/Traffic	Signal equipment is required throughout the scheme so it has not been possible to completely eliminate this hazard. Suitable clearance of all signal equipment from live carriageway has been provided.	Sufficient space parking for maintenance vehicles in vicinity of each signal site has also been considered.		2	4	Risk of collision of live traffic with construction site	Locations where access may prove difficult for maintenance due to restricted space will be highlighted on the relevant drawings.	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H4 5	Design Stage Review	M 13. Interaction with traffic	Installation/ maintenance of drainage in/adjacent to live carriageways	Working in the vicinity of live traffic.	Construction	3 4	12	Transport/Traffic	It has not been possible to completely eliminate the identifie hazard works and maintenance next to existing road is required as part of the works. Existing drainage has been retained where feasible,	Lower maintenance drainage solutions have been provided. An outline traffic management plan for the construction phase has been developed and provide to the contractor for consideration and further development	2	3	6	Risk of collision of live traffic with construction site	Constrained areas where access for maintenance or construction will be difficult have been highlighted on the relevant drainage drawings.	Utilities Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H5 5	Design Stage Review	C 4. Chemical or biological substances		Encountering hazardous materials / contaminated ground during carriageway works, e.g. tar	Construction	2 4	8	Civil / Structural	The proposed design has reduced the need for new pavement construction where possible by retaining the existing pavement. Some new pavement is required so it has not been possible to completely eliminate the hazard.	Detailed pavement investigation surveys will be carried out to identify environmenta issues and reduce risk. Do not disturb where possible / # removal is necessary, strictly follow EA guidance.		2	2	Potential ground contamination	Any areas of know contamination will be highlighted on the pavement drawings	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H6 5	Design Stage Review	D 18. Significant demolition	Demolishing boundary walls where road widening is proposed (Scheme wide)	Crushed / buried under construction debris	Staff	3 4	12	Civil / Structural	existing boundaries where possible however it has not been	The impact on boundary walls has been minimised where possible through the alignment design through adjustment of the horizontal alignment and/or localised anarrowing of cycle lanes and/or footpaths.	2	4	8	Crushed/buried under construction debris	NA	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H7 5	Design Stage Review	13. Interaction with traffic	Working near live traffic	Vehicle collision against working area	Construction	3 4	12	Transport/Traffic	Where possible existing kerb lines are being maintained to minimise/reduce the construction impact and therefore the interaction with live traffic. The hazard cannot be completely eliminated.	Works phasing and construction stage traffic management plan has been produced and should be further developes by the contractor in line with Chapter 8 of the Traffic Signs Manual Consideration should be given to phasing of works and carrying out inline works at night time.		4	8	Risk of collision of live traffic with construction site	NA	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC		This risk will be reviewed at detailed design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H8 5	Design Stage Review	20. Interaction with the public	All construction works at interface points with live areas along the scheme	Works adjacent to public roads will involve risk of accidents due to conflict between road vehicles/users and site vehicles/personnel	Public	4 5	20	All Disciplines	Where possible existing kerb lines are being maintained to minimis/reduce interaction with live traffic. It has not been possible to eliminate this risk as the site includes area of a live road network.	An outline traffic management plan will be developed and provide to the contractor for consideration and further development in line with Chapter 8 of the Traffic Signs Manual. Disruption to pedestrian movements should me minimised through the provision of alternative walkways and crossing points where necessary.		5	15	Member of the publi coming in contact with work vehicle or enterin the worksite.	а	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H9 5	Design Stage Review	20. Interaction with the public	All construction works (Scherne wide)	Opposition to construction work, protesters	Construction	3 3	9	All Disciplines	Early engagement with land owners, stakeholders and community groups through Public Consultations and Community Forums, taking account of feedback	Maintain dialogue with key land owners and stakeholders.	2	3	6	Member of the public coming in contact with work vehicle or enterin the worksite.		Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H10 5	Design Stage Review	20. Interaction with the public	Construction of Frank Flood Bridge	Risk of accidents/collisions between construction traffic/machinery and vulnerable road users	Public	3 5	15	Civil / Structural		Sequencing of the works should be t carefully considered so that pedestrians/cyclists can use the existing Frank Flood bridge whilst the new adjacent structure is being constructed.	2	3	6	Member of the publi coming in contact with work vehicle or enterin the worksite.		Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
H11 5	Design Stage Review	20. Interaction with the public	Removal, relocation and/or installation of street fuumiture	Lifting operations in constrained locations could result in strikes with members of the public and/or with adjacent buildings/structures.	s Public	3 5	15	Civil / Structural	The proposed design aims to reduce the need for removal and relocation of street furniture where possible by maintaining existing kerb lines but the need to remove/relocate/install street furniture cannot be completely eliminated.	Sequencing of the works should be carefully considered so that pedestrians/cyclists are redirected away from fifting operations. Consideration should also be given to night time working for more significant lifting operations.	2	3	6	Member of the publi coming in contact with work vehicle or enterin the worksite.	а	Highways Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	Design Stage Review	C 7. Exposure to drowning C 2. Burial under earthfalls	Creation of new ponds and Swales giving rise to deep water when in operation.		Public	3 5	15	Civil / Structural	Use of tree pits, filter drains and source measures to reduce pond/swale size	Shallow slopes applied to ponds/Swales to reduce likelihood of fall. Pond depths typically designed for 0.5m water to reduce risk of drowning	1	5	5	Risk of drowning cannot be fully eliminated as ponds/swales remain	N/A	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP) 2013 Const Regs
			Deep excavation of road to install and connect new gullies.	Risk of excavation collapse, burial	Construction	3 5	15	Civil / Structural	Design standard has been adjusted to remove requirement for gulley replacement where existing kerb lines are retained	Combined side/surface entry gulley proposed to reduce frequency and number of connections/excavations	2	5	10	Risk remains as new gulley still need to be installed		Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
D3 5	Design Stage Review	C 2. Burial under earthfalls	Creation of new ponds and Swales giving rise to deep water when in operation	Risk of excavation collapse, burial	Construction	3 5	15	Civil / Structural	Use of tree pits, filter drains and source measures to reduce pond/swale size/need	Shallow slopes applied to ponds/Swales to reduce excavation depth.	1	5	5	Risk of excavation collapse cannot be fully eliminated as ponds/swales remain	N/A	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
D4 5	Design Stage Review	C 7. Exposure to drowning	Failure of drainage due to intense storms before it is operational	Risk of flooding	Construction	4 4	16	Civil / Structural	Design standard has sought to minimise extent of new drainage works although hazard cannot be eliminated due to requirement fo work	Design standard has sought to minimise extent of new drainage works although risk cannot be reduced due to requirement for work	4	4	16	Risk remains as drainage works are inherent works requirement	N/A	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)

Risk ID.	Formal Review Description	Particular or Non- Phase Particular Risk (if applicable)	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most Risk	^{at} Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	Discipline	Design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	Design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
D5 5	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Service strike during excavation/installation of new drainage infrastructure	Service strike	Construction	5	5	25	Civil / Structural		 Full assessment of other services carried out with clash detection during design process 	5	3	15	Risk remains, full GPR survey required to further reduce risk	N/A	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
D6 5	Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Failure of brick or othe sewers during connection by new works	r Sewer collapse and failure, burial	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Cannot be eliminated at this stage, connections to existing sewer network required for functional drainage system	Cannot be reduced at this stage, connections to existing drainage system required	3	5	15	Risk remains, condition survey of existing sewers should be completed to ascertain existing	N/A	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
D7 5	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Operation of road drainage network and treatment	Pollution incident due to failure of drainage interceptors	Public	3	4	12	Civil / Structural	Cannot be eliminated, use of vehicles on highway and outfalls to surface water network/stream required		3	3	9	Requirement for interceptors which could fail remains as insufficien space allowed for full SuDS measures	N/A t	Drainage Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST1 5	Design Stage Review	C 13. Interaction with traffic	Working in close proximity to a live carriageway (scheme wide)	Operative struck by live traffic	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	boundaries has been eliminated or reduced through the horizonta alignment design as far as possible. The impact on existing boundaries cannot be completed	Maximise use of off site fabrication to minimise time spent on the road. Designate sufficient temporary site boundaries. The Designer anticipates that adequate work space will be provided so that vehicle restraint barriers can be in place between the workspace and live traffic.	1	5	5	Operative struck by live traffic also a constrained work space introduces the risk of an operative being struck by reversing construction traffic	Extent of site boundary to bu clearly conveyed on drawings.	Structures Lead	d Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will need to be reviewed at detailed design stage. Contractor to review extent of temporary works and method of seperation.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP) s
ST2 5	Design Stage Review	C 2. Burial under earthfalls	Construction of retaining walls (Scheme wide)	Collapse of walls or collapse of temporary slopes	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Avoid the need for modification of construction of retaining structures via restriction of highway corridot where practical	r Sufficient space designated for temporary worksd to accommodate 1:1.5 battered slopes to ensure slope stability.	2	5	10	Operative buried due to collaspe of excavation due to encountering unexpected ground condition or surcharge from constructio plant.	Extent of site boundary to be clearly conveyed on drawing and indicative battered slopes shown on wall sections.	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will need to be reviewed at detailed design stage when more comprehensive geotechnical information is availible. Constractor to ensure adequate exclusion zones for construction plant at top of slope to avoid surcharge.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST3 5	: Design Stage Review	C 4. Chemical or biological substances	Impregnation of concrete (Scheme wide)	Inhalation of hydrophobic pore liner which can be a hazarderous substance	ch Construction	4	3	12	Civil / Structural	None. Transport Infrastructure Ireland requirement for surface impregnation of all exposed concrete	None.	4	3	12	Exposure to hazaderous chemical materials during application of hydrophobi pore liner.		Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	Application of impregnation material to be carried out in accordance with CC-SPW-02000 section 3. Contractor to ensure suitable method statement developed to mitigate risk during works	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	: Design Stage Review	M 1. Falling from height	Inspection and maintenance of retaining walls (schemwide)	Falling from top of wall during inspection and maintenance acitvities.		3	4	12		requirement of the asset owner.	Introduction of safety barriers or upstands to provide a physical barrier to an unprotected drop. Provide adequate access around structure to facilitate maintenance activities	1	4	4	accessing the areas behind the walls.	Requirements for safter barriers to be include on wal section drawings.	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Manual Handling (Scheme wide)	Risk of musculoskeletal injuries from heavy lifting or repetitive tasks at low level.	Construction	4	3	12	Civil / Structural	None. Some instances of manua handling required.	al Maximise use of off site fabrication to limit work required on site and take advantage of plant assisted works.	2	3	6	Risk of musculoskeletal injuries from heavy lifting or repetitive tasks at low level	N/A	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST6 5	: Design Stage Review	C 12. Assembly or dismantling of heavy prefabricated components	Lifting operations for precast retaining wall elements (Scheme	Clash with overhead utilities. Suspended load striking operatives	d Construction	3	4	12	Civil / Structural	Avoid precast elements where overhead utilities pose significan risk.	None. Construction of precast elements t will require lifting operations.	2	4	8	Suspended load striking operatives	N/A	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST7 5	: Design Stage Review	M 1. Falling from height	Maintenance and inspection of Frank Flood Bridge	Operatives falling into the Tolka River	Maintenance	3	3	9	Civil / Structural	None. Inspection and maintenance activities are a requirement during design life	Detail structure such that critical elements are easily inspectable. New parallel structure to be aligned such that sufficient space is created to allow for access for maintenance and inspection	1	3	3	Operatives falling into the Tolka River	Seperation between structures demonstrated on drawings. Inspection platforms and access to key elements demonstrated on drawings.	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST8 5	: Design Stage Review	C 20. Interaction with the public	Construction of retaining walls in residential gardens	Disruption of access to properties and excessive noise.	Construction	4	2	8	Civil / Structural	Implement local restrictions of highway corridor at locations where access may be impedded		2	2	4	Disruption of access to properties and excessive noise.	Access locations to be called up on drawings	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST9 5	: Design Stage Review	C 1. Falling from height	Installation of footbridge at Frank Flood Bridge	Risk of falling when splicing sections of the footbridge	Construction	3	4	12	Civil / Structural	None. There is a requirement to work at height when splice connections are made	Taken to maintain access durino works Maximise use of offsite fabrication to minimise number of connections. Where practical avoid welded splices.	2	4	8	Risk of falling when splicing sections of the footbridge	Construction sequence drawings to demonstrate splices required.	Structures Lead	d Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST10 5	Design Stage Review	C 7. Exposure to drowning	Works in and around the Tolka River	Operatives falling into River and drowning	Construction	3	4	12		place in and around the Tolka River		2	4	8	Operatives falling into River and drowning	Works in rivercourse highlighted on construction sequence drawings	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage. Contractor should avoid works in high flow conditions	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST11 5	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable		Damage to a culturally significant feature and increased liklihood of errant vehicles impacts		3	3	9	Civil / Structural		Risk of errant vehicle collision to be mitigated via maintaining a minimum set- back of 0.6m and introduction of a Trief Kerb. Original parapet ballstrudes to be resintated to retain character of bridge	2	3	6	increased liklihood of errant vehicles impacts	Mitigation measures via kert introduction shown on drawings	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
ST12 5	Design Stage Review	C 6. Work near high-voltage power lines	Substructure works for Frank Flood Bridge	Striking of a buried 38kV High Voltage Asset during piling or excavation	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Proposed realignment of HV asset via new thrust bore under the river	None. Hazard removed from works area	1	5	5	Hazard eliminated	Diversion proposals shown or drawings	Structures Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC	CLOSED	This risk will be reviewed at detailled design stage.	2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Construction of retaining walls	Foundation insufficient bearing capacity for structure.		3	5	15	Civil / Structural		Design ground investigation to confirm ground conditions at each structure prior to detailed design and construction	1	5	5	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	: Design Stage Review : Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable C Not Applicable	Ground investigation design prior to design fix Design and	GI design no longer applicable due to changes in alignment and structure locations Unanticipated thicknesses of made	Construction	4	4	16		Complete GI design only once route alignment and structure locations are confirmed Design ground investigation to	Design GI for all possible structural options if investigations to be completed prior to design fix Design remediation of areas of	1	4	4	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor None. Obvious risk to a	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP) 2013 Const Regs
55 5			construction of structural foundations	ground at structure foundations	Contact douboil	3	4	12	one, orderedd	determine the characteristics of founding strata at each structure location and determine the	unanticipated made ground.	1	4	4	competent contractor		Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			(PSDP)
	Design Stage Review	U Not Applicable	Design and construction of structural foundations	Excess settlement of structures due to low strength founding strata.	Operations	3	5	15	Civil / Structural		Design ground investigation to confirm ground conditions at each structure prior to detailed design and construction.	1	4	4	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
G8 5	: Design Stage Review	C 2. Burial under earthfalls	Construction of replacement retaining walls	Failure in retention of material behind existing retaining wall on demolition for replacement wall construction.	Construction	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	None.	Design ground investigation to determine the properties of the retained material to enable a suitable temporary works design for the replacement of the wall	1	4	4	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
G9 5	Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Construction of structural foundations	Inundation of excavations for structural foundations due to high groundwater table	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	None.	Design ground investigation and groundwater monitoring to determine groundwater regime at location of	1	4	4	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	твс			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Construction of structural foundations	Striking utilities assets	Construction	2	5	10	Civil / Structural		structures. Design to determine location of any utilities in the vicinity of the structures foundations and provide information	1	5	5	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
G11 5	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Construction on Frank Flood Bridge	Lack of information on backfill material over and around existing bridge structure to inform structural design.	Construction	4	4	16	Civil / Structural	Design ground investigation to examine the composition and determine the properties of the backfill of the bridge structure		1	4	4	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)
G12 5	: Design Stage Review	C Not Applicable	Design and construction of new bridge structure at Frank Flood Bridge	Lack of geotechnical information for north bank of river due to insufficient GI data caused by space confined by utilities.	Construction	3	5	15	Civil / Structural		er	2	4	8	None. Obvious risk to a competent contractor	N/A	Geotechnical Lead	Detailed design stage	NA	TBC			2013 Const Regs (PSDP)